PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

APR 1 9 2005

CET LILLY AND COMPANY Patent Division

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY
Attn. Desai, Manisha A.
P.O. Box 6288

Indianapolis, IN 46206-6288 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15/04/2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference	
X-16511 √	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	International filing date
PCT/US2004/037189 🗸	(day/month/year) 22/11/2004
Applicant	
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY	
	The state of the s

1. X	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
	Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
	Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35
	For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
2.	The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
з. 🗌	With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
	the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of **18** months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90*bis.*1 and 90*bis.*3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

<u>0</u>))

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Federico Bonomelli

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- (Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11):
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220
X-16511	ACTION as we	as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2004/037189	22/11/2004	25/11/2003
Applicant		
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY		
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Au ansmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in thi	s report.
	international search was carried out on the backs of the was carried out on the was carried out of the was carried out o	asis of the international application in the
The international this Authority (Ru		slation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclose	d in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. X Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box III).	
4. With regard to the title,		
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
X the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
7-PHENYL-ISOQUINOLINE- KINASE B)	5-SULFONYLAMINO DERIVATIVE	S AS INHIBITORS OF AKT (PROTEIN
5. With regard to the abstract,		
X the text is approved as su	.,	the said against the said against
		rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant rch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,		
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure No.	
as suggested by t	• •	
	s Authority, because the applicant failed to su	
1 — —	s Authority, because this figure better charact	erizes the invention.
b none of the figures is to be	e published with the abstract.	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2004/037189

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C07D217/22 C07D401/12 A61K31/472 A61K31/4725 A61P35/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $IPC \ 7 \ CO7D$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data, WPI Data, BEILSTEIN Data

Calegory °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No	
Y	WO 01/91754 A1 (PEPTOR LTD., ISRAEL; YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT CO. OF THE HEBREW UNI) 6 December 2001 (2001-12-06) see compounds of claim 1 as PKB inhibitors and definition of residue R5;	1~11	
γ ✓	REUVENI, HADAS ET AL: "Toward a PKB Inhibitor: Modification of a Selective PKA Inhibitor by Rational Design" BIOCHEMISTRY, 41(32), 10304-10314 CODEN: BICHAW; ISSN: 0006-2960, 2002, XP002267237 see abstract and tables 1 and 2 -/	1-11	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C	Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention. "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone. "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
8 April 2005	15/04/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax (+31-70) 340-3016	Traegler-Goeldel, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2004/037189

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
E	WO 2005/011697 A (ASTEX TECHNOLOGY LIMITED; CANCER RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY LIMITED; THE INST) 10 February 2005 (2005-02-10) see compounds of claim 1 and their pharmacological activity	1-11
Ρ,Χ	✓WO 2004/094386 A (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY; AL AWAR, RIMA, SALIM; BARDA, DAVID, ANTHONY; HE) 4 November 2004 (2004-11-04) see compounds of claim 1 with n being 2 and X being NR8 as PKB inhibitors, e.g. examples 123 and 124	1-11

International application No. PCT/US2004/037189

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Although claim 10 is directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
—— covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:				PCT	
see f	orm PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF TH NAL SEARCHING AU PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) 25 JJ 2005	
		16611	(day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second she	et)
Applicant's or agen see form PCT//3			FOR FURTHER A		
International applic PCT/US2004/03		International filing date (d 22.11.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.11.2003	
1	. ,	r both national classification 31/472, A61K31/4725, A		- L	
Applicant ELI LILLY AND	COMPANY				
Box No.	I Basis of the of Priority III Non-establish IV Lack of unity V Reasoned state applicability; of VI Certain defective in the control of the c	nment of opinion with rega of invention atement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> citations and explanations	ard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicat novelty, inventive step or indi ement	-
written opin the applicat Internations will not be s If this opinic submit to th months from whichever of	for international properties on of the Internation to chooses an Author Bureau under Rule occursidered. In is, as provided about the IPEA a written report the date of mailing approach properties later.	nal Preliminary Examining rity other than this one to a 66.1 bis(b) that written o bove, considered to be a voly together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	g Authority ("IPEA"). I be the IPEA and the pinions of this Interna written opinion of the I priate, with amendme	usually be considered to be a dowever, this does not apply to chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of the of 22 months from the priority	where to hree
Name and mailing a	ddress of the ISA		Authorized Officer		Chat Petenten

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Traegler-Goeldel, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8278



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/037189

	Box	No	. I Basis of the opinion
1.			pard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.			pard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and arry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	рес	of material:
] ;	a sequence listing
] t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rma	t of material:
] i	n written format
] i	n computer readable form
	c. tim	ne d	of filing/furnishing:
] (contained in the international application as filed.
) f	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
] f	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	1	has cop	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 10				
bed	because:				
×	the said international applicatio does not require an international		the said claims Nos. 10 relate to the following subject matter which eliminary examination (specify):		
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawi unclear that no meaningful opin		(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):		
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further details				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-11

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-11

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-9, 11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

re item III:

Claim 10 is directed to a method for the treatment of the human or animal body. Under the terms of Rule 67.1 (iv) and Article 34 (4)a)I) PCT, the International Preliminary Examining Authority is not required to carry out an examination on such claims concerning industrial applicability.

re item V:

1. Prior art

The examination procedure is based on the documents as cited in the International Search Report:

- D1: WO 01/91754 A1 (PEPTOR LTD., ISRAEL; YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT CO. OF THE HEBREW UNI) 6 December 2001 (2001-12-06)
- D2: REUVENI, HADAS ET AL: "Toward a PKB Inhibitor: Modification of a Selective PKA Inhibitor by Rational Design" BIOCHEMISTRY, 41(32), 10304-10314 CODEN: BICHAW; ISSN: 0006-2960, 2002, XP002267237
- D3: WO 2005/011697 A (ASTEX TECHNOLOGY LIMITED; CANCER RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY LIMITED; THE INST) 10 February 2005 (2005-02-10)
- D4: WO 2004/094386 A (ELI LILLY AND COMPANY; AL AWAR, RIMA, SALIM; BARDA, DAVID, ANTHONY; HE) 4 November 2004 (2004-11-04)

2. Novelty

The claimed 5-isoquinolinesulfonamide derivatives differ from those disclosed in D1 in principle merely by the 1-phenyl-propyl residue bound to the nitrogen of the sulfonylaminoethylamino group instead of a 1-aryl-substituted 1-propylene group. They differ from the structural closest ones as disclosed in D2 by the presence of the phenyl substituent in position 7. Therefore the claimed subject matter is considered to fulfil the requirements of Art. 33 (2) PCT with respect to the cited prior art.

Inventive step

Documents D1 as well as D2 are concerned with 5-isoquinolinesulfonamides being protein kinase B inhibitors as is the present application. Whereas the present compounds differ structurally from those disclosed in D2 by the phenyl residue which must be present in position 7 of the isoquinoline, they are distinguished from those according to D1 merely by the propyl bridge instead of a propylene bridge connecting the aryl group with the nitrogen in the side chain. Therefore document D1 represents the closest prior art.

If the problem underlying the present application were to be seen in the provision of further 5-isoquinolinesulfonamides as inhibitors of protein kinase B, the solution of this problem must be considered as being obvious for the following reason: from SAR studies as disclosed D1 (p. 22, l. 23 to 24 and l. 25 to 26) and D2 (p. 10309, right column) it is known that the isoquinoline moiety and the aminoethylamino residue connected to the sulphonyl residue which both are present in the claimed compounds as well, are essential for the pharmacological activity as PKB inhibitors, whereas the last part of the molecule may be varied to a considerable extent without loss of PKB inhibiting activity (D1: p. 23, I. 12-19 and D2: p. 10311, left column, second paragraph). From D1 it was furthermore known that the isoquinoline moiety may be substituted in position 7 by a phenyl residue (see definition of residue R₅) and form D2 it was clear that the unsaturated propylene bridge as obligatory in D1 could be replaced by various saturated alkyl chains (see table 1 on p. 10308 and e.g. compound NL-71-133a in table 2 with a IC₅₀ value of 5.6 μ M as compared to the best propylene compound NL-71-101 of this table with 2 μ M) without loss of PKB inhibitory effect. Therefore, the skilled person, in order to provide further 5-isoquinolinesulfonamides as PKB inhibitors, would have taken into account the results of the extended SAR studies and combined the teaching of documents D1 and D2; consequently he thus would have expected that replacement of the propylene chain with a propyl chain as in the present application would evenly result in compounds retaining said inhibiting effect on PKB.

Therefore, with respect to the closest prior art D1 (structurally and concerning properties), the problem underlying the present application, the solution of which could involve an inventive step, is to be seen in the provision of compounds that do exhibit an unexpected or improved effect (e.g. better pharmacological characteristics) compared to the structural closest compounds of the closest prior art D1. The Applicant's attention is drawn to the

fact, that any comparative tests should be made with compounds of the closest prior art, showing the closest possible structural similarity, differing structurally only by the essential feature, i.e. the <u>feature which renders the subject matter novel and which an inventive step may be based on</u>. If such an effect could be demonstrated (preferably by concrete experimental data) being furthermore representative for the whole scope of claim 1, an inventive step could be acknowledged.

Consequently, at present, the claimed subject matter of claims 1, 7 and 8 does not fulfil the requirements of Art. 33 (3) PCT. The same applies for the dependent claims 2-6 and 9 to 11.

4. Industrial applicability

No objection arises as far as the claimed compounds may be used for the production of pharmaceuticals.

re item VIII:

Independent claim 1 appears to be comprised by independent claim 7: the only difference is obviously the definition of m as 0, 1, 2 or 3 which comprises the corresponding definition of q in claim 1 as 1, 2 or 3. In order to fulfil the requirements of Art. 6 PCT, claim 7 should be made to main claim 1, optionally followed by a dependent claim 2 (present claim 1).